

**Civil Procedure (Section 1)**  
**Fall 2008**  
**Prof. Brill**

Quiz # 3

Which of the following statements best sets forth the holding of Shaffer v. Heitner?

- a) In rem jurisdiction is unconstitutional.
- b) Quasi in rem jurisdiction is unconstitutional.
- c) Harris v. Balk is overruled.
- d) Delaware offers special protections to corporations, and accordingly they are subject to law suits there.
- e) Seizure of stock violates Due Process.
- f) Quasi in rem jurisdiction, when asserted over real property, is constitutional.
- g) Quasi in rem jurisdiction must be evaluated according to International Shoe.
- h) A state has jurisdiction over a man who enters the state and purchases a horse with a check, when the check subsequently bounces, and the lawsuit is for breach of contract.

.....  
TEAR OFF

---

Civil Procedure (Section I)  
Fall 2008 - Quiz # 3

---

Name

---

Answer

If you believe this question is vague, ambiguous, misleading or unfair, please explain why.